

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/608,870		06/27/2003	Mark T. Bohr	42P15335	5 7488	
8791	7590	06/13/2005		EXAMINER		
BLAKEL	Y SOKOL	OFF TAYLOR &	TRAN, LONG K			
		ULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEVENTH	FLOOR			AKTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGI	ELES, CA	90025-1030		2818		

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/608,870	BOHR ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Long K. Tran	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a price of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replied the final rejection. It is a construction is a construction of (2) the date set forth in the lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the construction.	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or n one of the er is later. In no			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	•	) and the apprepriate exte	oneign for house			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because			
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul>	•	TE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or		, , ,				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>The limitations "the silicon alloy material extensions"</u>		•	rnorotod into			
claim 1 and 14 create new issues that would requi 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· ——					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•	•	-			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a N	Notice of Appeal will r	not be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
David Nelms						
Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800						